The Silence of Inquiry: Forensic Reflections, A Crisis of Perception, and NASA Under Scrutiny

10.6084/m9.figshare.28078982

Andrew Lehti
December 13, 2024

Part of the Collection of:
Cognitive Psychology and the Education System
https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.7532079

Learned behaviors and cognitive biases have perpetuated trait inheritance across generations, stemming from an education system established in the fourth century CE, forming a self-sustaining structure resembling a virus causing humans to deny change.

The Semmelweis Reflex is the tendency to reject new evidence because it contradicts established beliefs. Named after Ignaz Semmelweis, who introduced handwashing to reduce infections but was dismissed for challenging medical norms. After being dismissed from his position, he faced opposition and isolation within the medical community, as his handwashing theories were ridiculed and ignored. Eventually, he was committed to a mental asylum, where he reportedly became violent. Soon after his admission, he sustained a severe wound on his hand, potentially from a beating by the staff or another violent encounter, which led to sepsis. This infection caused his death at just 47.

Refer to Page 18: Thousands of Photos, Visual Documentation, and Explanations.

First Release of Evidence: 05/22/2022

Updated 12/17/2024

Released 1/15/2025

To address common concerns about accessibility, it is assured that no paywall will be implemented. This research will remain freely accessible, as research should inherently be open to all.

Methodology

The research focuses on investigating motives, behaviors, and observations while deliberately avoiding prior exposure to academic findings utilizing my approach which is **extrapolative trial by error.** This approach minimizes anchoring biases that could influence the thought process. After completing the study, academic material is reviewed comprehensively to evaluate alignment with the findings. Typically, the findings correspond closely with established principles, with exceptions limited to nuanced insights not yet formally recognized but widely observed in society. Few contradictions arise with established norms, apart from the integration of multiple established biases into more complex, composite biases that reflect broader systemic issues.

Lehti, Andrew (2024). Extrapolative Trial by Error. Journal contribution. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.27643080

Introduction to Cognitive Impasse

The cognitive impasse is a phenomenon that fosters a self-perpetuating cycle of mental rigidity, ensuring that the frameworks governing thought remain unchanged even as they are adopted by new individuals and institutions. This persistent recycling of identical intellectual structures—wrapped in new contexts and bodies—explains why the educational system has remained fundamentally unaltered for over 1,400 years. Originating from the Roman model of memory discipline and compliance, these paradigms have spread globally, embedding themselves into the fabric of schools, colleges, universities, and academic institutions worldwide.

While the tools, technologies, and methodologies of education have evolved, the underlying framework remains steadfast, prioritizing conformity and the avoidance of error over critical thinking and adaptability. The cognitive impasse not only sustains this system but also prevents those within it from seeing its flaws clearly—particularly if they are unwilling to confront the discomfort of challenging ingrained beliefs. This resistance to change ensures that educational paradigms persist, reinforcing societal stagnation masked as progress.

Intelligence transcends rote memorization, embodying curiosity, adaptability, and creative problem-solving. Yet, modern education systems prioritize recall, confining intellectual growth to predetermined boundaries. This approach stifles creativity, fosters fear of failure, and reduces critical thinking to conformity. True intelligence is a dynamic process, integrating understanding, pattern recognition, and the courage to explore ambiguity. Education must evolve to emphasize principle-based learning, cognitive flexibility, and curiosity-driven exploration. By fostering environments where failure is reframed as growth, and knowledge is a tool for deeper understanding, we can nurture resilient, innovative thinkers capable of shaping the complexities of the 21st century.

10.6084/m9.figshare.28078982

Abstract

Photoelectromagnetic Image Analysis (PEMi) represents a breakthrough forensic methodology for assessing electromagnetic light behavior in photographs, revealing features beyond human visual perception. This technique, which captures the CMYK spectrum without the use of filters, identifies subtle artifacts and variations in light intensity, distinguishing natural from artificial light sources.

Through its application, PEMi uncovered critical inconsistencies in moon landing photographs, showing a divergence from the characteristic patterns of sunlight observed in orbital images. Further analysis revealed evidence of both life-size and miniature set elements within the lunar photographs, indicating the use of staged techniques. By combining precision and innovation, PEMi advances the integrity of photographic analysis and highlights its potential to validate authenticity in scientific and historical imagery.

Source Attribution Bias arises from a persistent tendency to dismiss information that challenges one's beliefs by questioning the credibility of its source. This often manifests as claims that photos are "photoshopped" or videos are fabricated by AI, offering a convenient rationale for rejecting evidence. The pattern typically repeats, with new evidence systematically dismissed under evolving justifications.

Rooted in **belief perseverance** and **confirmation bias**, this tendency shields individuals from confronting opposing viewpoints, sparing them the cognitive or emotional effort of reevaluating their perspectives. It is frequently observed across diverse groups—academic, religious, cultural, or ideological—whose worldview is constrained by a narrowly defined lens.

The bias is amplified by the increasing sophistication of digital tools, which simultaneously enable actual manipulation and provide a credible pretext for doubting legitimate information. This paradox fosters an environment where skepticism, instead of critical analysis, becomes the default response, deepening resistance to intellectual growth.

Though seemingly novel, **Source Attribution Bias** reflects patterns of reasoning as old as humanity itself. What distinguishes it now is the modern context: an era of sophisticated digital tools and widespread misinformation that intensifies its expression. When I introduced this bias, I anticipated its inclusion among recognized cognitive distortions. Yet, on closer inspection, it becomes clear that it overlaps with multiple existing biases, forming what I now term a **composite bias**—the interplay of three or more biases or effects working in tandem.

The Primary Components of Source Attribution Bias:

1. **Confirmation Bias** – the selective acceptance of evidence that aligns with preexisting beliefs.
2. **Cognitive Impasse** (particularly **Belief Perseverance**) – the stubborn refusal to reconsider initial viewpoints despite contrary evidence.
3. **Disconfirmation Bias** – the disproportionate scrutiny applied to opposing information.
4. **Infamication** – the overemphasis on sensational or misleading narratives.
5. **Ad Hominem Reasoning** – rejecting evidence by attacking its source or messenger.

Contributing factors may include:

- **Motivated Reasoning**, driven by the desire to affirm one's identity or beliefs.
- **In-Group Bias**, which fosters trust only within one's community or ideological circle.
- The **Dunning-Kruger Effect**, where limited knowledge fosters overconfidence in one's evaluations.

This synthesis highlights the complexity of such reasoning. Each component reinforces the others, creating a self-sustaining cycle of rejection and resistance to alternative perspectives. Understanding this interplay is crucial for dismantling such biases and fostering more open, reflective thinking.

Conformity Bias Components include:

1. **Status Quo Bias** – the preference for maintaining existing beliefs and resisting change, even in the face of compelling evidence.
2. **Bandwagon Effect** – the inclination to adopt views that align with the majority or in-group, reinforcing collective dismissals of conflicting information.
3. **Social Proof** – the reliance on the consensus of others as validation, often leading to the uncritical acceptance of group judgments.
4. **Normative Influence** – rejecting evidence that might isolate one from their ideological or social group.

These biases work alongside previously identified factors:

- **Confirmation Bias**, **Belief Perseverance**, and **Disconfirmation Bias** provide the cognitive underpinnings.
- **Infamication** and **Ad Hominem Reasoning** offer convenient narratives for invalidating sources.
- **Motivated Reasoning**, **In-Group Bias**, and the **Dunning-Kruger Effect** amplify the internal resistance to reevaluating beliefs.

When conformity biases join this composite framework, the mechanism becomes even more self-reinforcing. The rejection of evidence is not just a matter of individual cognition but a socially anchored process, where deviating from the group threatens one's identity or belonging. This dynamic illustrates how deeply entwined psychological, social, and technological factors perpetuate Source Attribution Bias, making it one of the most resilient cognitive patterns to address. Recognizing these layers of bias is critical to unraveling them and fostering environments that encourage thoughtful dissent and critical engagement with evidence.

It encompasses a history of truthful narratives being dismissed as: photoshopped, AI, fake news, propaganda, a doctored image, a deepfake, a communist plot, black magic, a forgery, a conspiracy theory, an urban legend, pseudoscience, witchcraft, a trick, superstition, mass hysteria, a hallucination, a delusion, a hoax, a scam, an illusion, sorcery, heresy, a myth, exaggerated, misinterpreted, out of context, misleading, a straw man argument, a coincidence, a parlor trick, a charade, a misunderstanding, a falsehood, a misdirection, merely theoretical, nonexistent, only a theory, controlled by the devil, or of the devil.

And one that I failed to realize which was so obvious: "***It's a coincidence.***"

When I began my journey to unravel the intricate mechanisms of human cognition and the forces shaping human behavior, I unwittingly confined my perspective to a narrow sliver—a fragment shaped by my own biases and limitations. Over the span of more than a decade, through relentless inquiry, introspection, metacognitive exploration, and the analysis of behavior, I found myself not standing in opposition to others but observing from an isolated vantage point. I was perched on a desolate overpass, gazing down at two vast chasms, mislabeled as “aisles,” with a small, enclosed space between them—barely noticeable—bearing a modest sign: “Individuality.”

This process was not one I undertook with joy or the expectation of a gratifying conclusion. The path offered no assurance of a favorable outcome, whether my thoughts were embraced or dismissed. Yet, I am convinced that humanity stands on the precipice of needing an awakening. While I can dismantle many notions, the most profound insights I’ve unearthed have emerged from the scientific community itself—a realm of inquiry that, when approached with genuine reflection, offers profound revelations.

Introspection

Pause for a moment. Consider: if a sense of discomfort or defensiveness stirs within you, what is its source? Is it the facts presented? The imagery conjured? Or does it arise from a deeper tension—perhaps an unspoken unease tied to presumptions about the flaws in others' reasoning? At the heart of the matter lies our approach to inquiry. Do we reflexively dismiss what unsettles us, or do we see such moments as invitations to think critically, to engage deeply?

Reflections on the Laser Movement on the Moon

To measure the laser's movement on the Moon for a given angular adjustment, we lean on fundamental geometric and trigonometric principles, elegantly interwoven with astronomical constants. The interplay of these forces underscores the profound precision inherent in modern science.

The Calculations Unveiled

Converting Degrees to Radians:

1. A degree is converted into radians by multiplying it by π/180. This change from a more intuitive to a more rigorous measure facilitates trigonometric computations.

2. **Determining the Arc Length:**
   The movement of the laser manifests as an arc across the Moon's surface, calculated by `s = r * θ`, where:
   s: the arc length (distance traversed by the laser spot),
   r: the average Earth-Moon distance, approximately 384,400 km,
   θ: the angle in radians.

Significant Results

The tabulated values reveal the correlation between minuscule angular shifts and their corresponding terrestrial-scale distances:

Angle (deg)	Angle (rad)	Arc Length (m)	Arc Length (km)	Arc Length (ft)
0.1	0.001745	669,868	669.87	2,197,729
0.01	0.0001745	66,987	66.99	219,773
0.001	0.00001745	6,699	6.70	21,977
0.0001	0.000001745	670	0.67	2,198
0.00001	0.0000001745	67	0.067	220
0.000001	0.00000001745	6.7	0.0067	22

Key Observations

1. A mere 0.1° adjustment moves the laser spot nearly 670 km across the lunar surface.
2. For adjustments as minute as 0.000001°, the displacement is just over 6 meters—akin to the length of a small room.

Reflections on the Debate

Discussions surrounding lunar science, ranging from the practicality of retroreflectors to concerns about the Van Allen belts, are both deeply technical and emotionally charged. Bridging the divide between skepticism and acceptance requires clarity, patience, and respect.

1. **Radiation Challenges:**
   The Van Allen belts indeed present hazards. However, their risks were mitigated during Apollo missions through careful trajectory planning and limited exposure time. The reality is nuanced; while not instantaneously fatal, cumulative exposure increases the risk of long-term health complications.
2. **Precision in Laser Ranging:**
   The feat of targeting lunar retroreflectors underscores humanity's mastery of optics and timing:
   - **Timing Accuracy:** Measuring the time it takes for a photon to traverse the Earth-Moon distance, reflecting back, to an accuracy within picoseconds.
   - **Atmospheric Considerations:** Correcting for refraction caused by Earth's layered atmosphere.
   - **Dynamic Targeting:** Accounting for Earth’s rotation, lunar wobble, and orbital drift ensures the laser meets its diminutive target.
3. **The Core of Skepticism:**
   Often, skepticism arises not from ignorance but from a chasm of trust. For skeptics, the science must be accompanied by transparency, humility, and an acknowledgment of valid doubts. Dismissing concerns outright only entrenches disbelief.

I write this because I have often observed how deeply people struggle with admitting they are wrong. Yet, for years, I've deliberately practiced recognizing and acknowledging my own errors. While I stand firmly by my areas of study, this steadfastness is often mistaken for stubbornness or an inability to concede. In truth, the opposite is closer to reality. The refutations I encounter frequently consist of regurgitated fragments of the very information being rejected, often stemming from avoidant behaviors or a lack of alternative reasoning. However, in instances of genuine critique, where significant errors are identified, I not only recognize such input but deeply respect it.

There have also been occasions where I believed I had uncovered a critical flaw, announced it publicly, and initially found confirmation through similar regurgitations—only to later disprove myself or have another present as a decisive counterpoint. One example involved pi, leading to an extended exploration of why it is an estimation rather than a provable, finite value—a topic too detailed to fully explain here. Ultimately, I uncovered a precision error in the code I was using, and with genuine excitement, I conceded my mistake, relieved to have resolved the issue. Interestingly, this minor project only amounted to about 24 hours of work over the span of a year.

Despite my openness to critique and my frequent, public admissions of error, I am often accused of an inability to admit fault. This irony strikes me deeply, given the rigorous self-criticism to which I hold myself—a standard I might describe as being even beyond the norm of what is merely rigorous.

Admitting one's mistakes, I believe, is challenging because our society has built a framework that punishes error rather than using it as a tool for growth. From our earliest education to the cultural stigma of predictive failure, the opportunity to learn from being wrong is often eclipsed by shame or rejection. Yet, the act of acknowledging error requires only a modest measure of self-awareness, honesty, and conscious effort. Ultimately, it comes down to one fundamental question:

What benefit is there in lying to one's self? What does it accomplish?

It achieves nothing but misery. For many years, I have subscribed to the idea that lying—particularly self-deception—inflicts harm not only on the psyche but on the brain itself. Persistent falsehoods, I believe, contribute to neurodegeneration, severing, redirecting, and distorting neural pathways. Over time, this creates an unstable mix of reality and fiction, as the mind struggles to maintain an increasingly intricate catalog of untruths. Such repression, compounded by the suppression of conflicting information, leads to what is known as motivated forgetting—a phenomenon where deeply held beliefs clash with contradictory encounters, resulting in the complete erasure of those moments from conscious awareness.

In the end, it is through the honest confrontation of our errors that we not only preserve our integrity but nurture the very cognitive architecture that allows us to grow, to learn, and to be free from the illusions we might otherwise impose upon ourselves.

Bias is universal, shaped by upbringing and society. Despite years of studying cognition—replacing hobbies and entertainment with learning—I still catch and address my biases. Bias quietly distorts reason, compelling beliefs without evidence, often

influenced by fear, love, or admiration. Manipulation exploits these vulnerabilities, particularly admiration, which can obscure judgment with illusions born of envy or attraction.

Choosing not to exploit these distortions demonstrates integrity. Yet even honesty can raise doubt, as declarations like "I do not lie" may themselves seem manipulative. Anxiety magnifies this tension, creating feedback loops between internal thoughts and external perceptions, as seen in the nervous traveler who appears guilty despite innocence.

Certainty about others' motives is often illusory. Human cognition is too complex for absolute understanding, especially when biases and anxieties ripple through our actions. Education systems reinforce cognitive hardening, favoring initial beliefs over new evidence. Only self-awareness and honesty—or influence from someone deeply trusted—can override this bias.

Cognition is both the source of insight and misunderstanding. Its study reveals human nature's clarity and chaos, reminding us to judge others only by explicit evidence. Accepting difficult truths through this lens is challenging but essential to genuine understanding.

Detachment from people usually comes easily to me, without resentment or longing. When my father passed away, though I miss him at times, the grief never consumed me. The two losses that truly struck me was that of my stepfather, Norm, who had taught me so much, and my grandmother who had done the same. I have two true regrets in life. The first is that I didn't take the time to truly listen to my grandfather's stories about World War II. The weight of his experiences, the lessons within them, are lost to me now, and I wish I had valued those moments while I still had the chance.

The second regret is that I wasn't able to create a world where people truly understood—for Norm. He deserved that understanding, and my inability to provide it remains a profound burden I carry. I raced against time for so long, striving for a breakthrough in my studies that might one day allow me to give both him and my mother the life they deserved. But now, I can never repay him for all that he gave me, for the countless lessons he imparted about introspection, life, people, how to understand others, empathy, and the delicate balance between failure and success. The way he treated others was genuinely, and if he didn't like you, you'd know.

Norm had an extraordinary depth of experience, not only drawn from his time as an instructor but as a Director of Human Resources, General Manager, and CEO at a casino. Imagine having access to such a wealth of knowledge throughout your life—insights into almost any question I asked, and I asked plenty. He loved to teach, and he taught me so much. Yet, despite all he shared, the tragedy is that he died believing no one cared about him. This, more than anything, is what drove me into overdrive in my studies of behavioral health and cognition.

Some may believe I am suicidal because I once was and now jest about it to challenge the stigma. Yet the truth lies elsewhere. Years ago, I felt unmoored, as though there was nothing anchoring me to life. Today, however, the depth of my understanding—of the self, of others, of mathematics and history—has become so profound that I fear death. I no

longer stand indifferent to the finite nature of life. Instead, I fear the passage of time, not for its inevitability but for how much remains unknown to me—things that might serve posterity, knowledge waiting to be uncovered, insights yet to bloom.

It is not that I seek to comprehend everything; rather, I hope that what I come to understand might help others in a future I will not see. I do not write for today. I write for tomorrow. My work may not be taken seriously in this modern era, but the seeds of knowledge are rarely planted for the planter's harvest.

What I might come to know in this lifetime is but a solitary drop in an unfathomable ocean, while others find contentment with only an atom. And though my own comprehension may seem vast when weighed against what little most seek, it is infinitesimal when measured against the boundless expanse of what there is to know. Compared to that vastness, my understanding is scarcely an atom at all. What both haunts me and inspires me to make a difference is not just my failure to repay Norm or my failure to honor his contributions while he was alive—it's that he believed no one cared about him anymore when he passed. And I desire for no one to ever feel this way again.

This relentless drive to understand human behavior brought me into conflict with people like Matt, who dismissed or deflected my insights and conflating my understanding and vast knowledge of medical papers with someone that read a single Wikipedia entry. Despite any level of expertise: what many don't realize is that psychologists generally don't discourage lay evaluations of behavior. Most in the field recognize that if someone has been told they may have a mental disorder, especially when substantial signs are present, it's not only a suggestion to see a therapist—it's often a strong indicator they may indeed either have that disorder or one that is confused for it. While it is not a medical diagnosis, my prediction of her behavior should have sufficed. Instead, Matt has claimed many other things about me without a shred of evidence, instead allowing many cognitive biases to take root. One of the harrowing questions introduced in basic psychological education is this: Has anyone ever told you they think you might have a mental illness? That question alone holds weight, as it often points to a truth people resist confronting.

And so, in comparison. Losing friends over time has been bittersweet, but I remind myself that I had already grown distant from many of them, and the loss was not great. Instead, what I miss is the familiarity, despite the different person they are now. The ones who remain are the ones who matter—they've stood by me unwaveringly, a few for over a decade. I have two best friends who are married, a friendship that feels like a blessing because I like solitude, and this cuts the time in half.

Dissenters, those who challenge prevailing narratives, often encounter an insidious tactic designed to undermine their credibility—a phenomenon I would call "infamication." This involves discrediting by association, where serious arguments are sullied through deliberate or incidental linkage to absurdities. Mention of something like the Van Allen belts, for instance, is wielded not to enlighten but to provoke skepticism or derision, planting an immediate bad taste in the minds of listeners.

This technique operates by saturating the discourse with inept, backward arguments that are easily refutable but stubbornly persistent. The goal is not to engage with truth but to create an environment where discerning fact from fiction becomes an exhausting endeavor. Those in denial of the dissent often masquerade as proponents of outlandish ideas, muddying the waters further. They propagate these "dumb ideas" among the equally uncritical, who then amplify them without reflection, creating an echo chamber of folly,

The damage of this conflation is profound. Once a concept has been infamicated—tainted by its association with nonsense—the genuine evidence it rests upon becomes suspect, no matter how sound. Critical ideas are dismissed out of hand, not because they lack merit, but because they have been drowned in a sea of discredit. Thus, infamication is not merely a tactic of dismissal; it is a mechanism for eroding the foundation of critical inquiry, ensuring that truth remains obscured beneath a veneer of ridicule and doubt.

I am glad my hypotheses were disproven and rejected. Without that rejection, I would not have grown or achieved the depth of discovery I now possess—an understanding of the mind so unique that I have encountered few who share its vantage points. I have reached a point where further vertical learning is no longer possible in my interests to understand the human mind, aside from knowledge recall—instead, I now seek lateral support.

This level of investigative understanding would have been unattainable without the resistance that came from challenging established norms and would not have been attainable in any institution today. It allowed me to study the fascinating rejection of reality, often revealed through complex efforts to shut down meaningful conversations. Those who cannot confront it will claim to have done so yet offer nothing beyond surface-level titles. I understood the theory of gaslighting but never knew what it felt like to be in that position for so long. I could have easily of gone insane, but self-awareness saved me.

Still, I recognize the dangers inherent in this process. Without deep introspection and metacognition—the very tools essential for healing—I might never have escaped the echo chamber. During that time, I was consumed by anger. My favorite space agency had lied to me. It was my most cherished belief, yet it crumbled under scrutiny with surprising ease.

I am someone who questions even constants like pi, refusing to accept beliefs without personal understanding. I wanted to know why pi was pi. You could easily regurgitate pi, its definitions, but you cannot regurgitate understanding. I have an understanding of pi on the atomic level now, an understanding of spatial maths I cannot find elsewhere. And these though not discoveries or knowledge that can be conveyed, but from a mind that builds its inquisitive power built upon those understandings.

This journey also illuminated a concept, a cognitive filter I termed as Autonormia: the way we treat initial or institutionalized information as self-contained, self-defined unquestioned truths until critically examined. An example lies in an ancient adage:

“Everyone who hears these words of mine and acts on them will be like a wise man who built his house on rock. Everyone who hears them but does not act will be like a foolish man who built his house on sand.”

Nowhere does it explain why it is important to listen to him; it only states that it would be foolish not to, creating a paradox. Blindly following and doing as told reflects the actions of the man who built his house on sand—a mindset prevalent in much of today’s attitude. To build upon the old to create a more understanding tomorrow: "A house built solely on knowledge lacks a foundation, but a house built on understanding can stand without knowledge."

Yet my failure to critically examine the moon landing revealed the biases I still harbored. Despite my passion for space exploration, I had accepted the narrative uncritically. That realization was humbling, showing how even the most curious minds can harbor blind spots.

This led to a deeper question: who was I truly angry at? Was it “them” for deceiving me, or myself for accepting their falsehoods and dismissing dissenters? Initially, I misdirected my anger outward—a rare deviation from my usual inward reflection. This misalignment left me blinded, offering only fleeting moments of clarity amidst the chaos. I endured relentless harassment yet failed to question why.

Ultimately, this journey has granted me a profound understanding of our species—a mosaic of deception, self-awareness, and growth—that continues to shape my path forward.

Findings (Photos in Directory)

1. Sunlight Patterns in Orbital Images:

Images captured from Earth's orbit displayed consistent CMYK spectrum patterns characteristic of natural sunlight. These patterns served as a reference for comparison.

2. Lunar Surface Photographs:

Analysis of lunar photographs revealed significant deviations from the reference sunlight patterns. Key observations included:

1. Localized light sources inconsistent with the sun's immense intensity and uniform distribution.
2. Artifacts indicative of fluorescent or staged lighting.
3. Shadows and reflections inconsistent with the expected behavior of sunlight on the moon's surface.

3. Evidence of Miniature Sets:

Certain photographs exhibited characteristics consistent with miniature set design. These included:

1. Proportional discrepancies between objects and terrain.
2. Textural uniformity indicative of scaled-down materials.
3. Visible manipulation, such as the use of putty to stabilize figures or props.

4. Evidence of Life-Size Elements:

Conversely, some photographs displayed elements consistent with life-size staging, such as:

1. Naturalistic textures and proportions.
2. Structural features suggesting physical assembly rather than digital composition.

5. Forensic Analysis of the Sun in Lunar and Orbital Images:

Here is another forensic analysis of the sun in space, compared to a photo from the same set that includes the sun in orbit, which is undeniably authentic. However, the photos taken on the moon are not authentic. Specifically, the images of the sun within the moon landing photos are not genuine. I cannot determine what type of light it is, but it is not the sun.

Examining the photos reveals the use of miniature sets, figures, and models combined with real-life stages to create fabricated visual narratives. Such practices would raise serious concerns about authenticity, eroding trust in the sources responsible for producing these materials.

The moon landing photos fail to align with reality. If this is accurate, the implications for the scientific community would be profound, revealing widespread inaccuracies and potential fraud within its data. Such actions reduce science to a mockery, manipulating the public for financial gain. This behavior exemplifies narcissism, where individuals seek recognition without achieving genuine accomplishments.

Notice: areas where astronauts appear incapable of standing independently, requiring support such as one foot placed in a putty-like material, reliance on a walking stick, or being positioned in an awkward manner. Notice the spatial size of materials, rocks, shadows, and even astronaut sizes. Notice the language they use to deny these claims align with echonoscence, echoclasts, and echoclasms.

Discussion

The findings suggest a combination of techniques, including both miniature and life-size staging, was employed in the creation of certain lunar photographs. While this does not invalidate the scientific achievements of lunar exploration, it raises questions about the authenticity of specific visual records.

PEMi's ability to discern subtle differences in light behavior underscores its value in forensic investigations. By identifying artificial lighting and scaled inconsistencies, PEMi provides a robust framework for verifying photographic authenticity. Its application extends beyond lunar imagery, offering potential in fields such as historical documentation, fraud detection, and astrophotography.

Conclusion

Photoelectromagnetic Image Analysis (PEMi) demonstrates unparalleled precision in identifying inconsistencies in lunar photographs. The detection of both life-size and miniature set elements highlights the necessity for transparency in scientific documentation. By refining our understanding of photographic evidence, PEMi not only validates authentic records but also strengthens trust in historical and scientific narratives. As a forensic tool, PEMi represents a transformative step toward accountability and integrity in visual documentation.

General Directory of Moon Landing Forensic Evidence

Updated 1/15/2025, 10:56 PM

For Citing: See Here

Science is about inquiry, no matter how absurd. When we can no longer question without an entire faction arming weapons against science, we become no better than those that burned dissenters at the stake.

Final Analysis and Conclusion: The photos captured in orbit are determined to be authentic. However, the photos taken on the Moon appear to be staged, with evidence suggesting the use of two distinct sets: one life-sized and one miniature. Drawing from a background in film—a foundational interest prior to pursuing science and truth—several techniques employed in the footage were recognizable. Notably, the most apparent indication is the slowed-down motion in the Moon landing videos, made evident by the drastically altered framerate.

See Item 8.

Censorship is Within the Eye of Down Voter:

Without your action, these words may fade. Algorithms, shaped by cognitive biases, suppress dissent, reducing accountability to an illusion. Metrics no longer guide discourse—they stifle it. Change begins not online, but in deliberate, face-to-face dialogue, through texts not emails nor messages.

This is no conspiracy theory but a reality of suppression, silencing not mysteries but everyday inquiry. Even universities, once sanctuaries for dissent, now feel hostile to it. The call is clear: foster understanding over dismissal. To build a future of openness and curiosity, we must amplify suppressed voices and ensure that genuine dialogue thrives where algorithms cannot.

This is not the first attempt at addressing this investigation. Given the diverse and multimedia-heavy nature of the research, a traditional physical paper proves insufficient. Instead, the findings will be presented in the form of this comprehensive directory.

Core Truths:

Ever been banned for exploring different ideas (dissenting)?

- *Selective-mindedness* is the belief that one is free from **cognitive dissonance**, **cognitive inertia**, or **cognitive impasse** simply because they adhere to **mainstream science**.

- That's not how these processes work. The feelings of *impending doom* and *guilt* arise when **belief interference** becomes strong, particularly when the interfering **belief** seems *logical* to you.

- If you lack awareness of how to differentiate these *feelings*, you may suffer—which can trigger **anger** and **hostility**—leading to the *repressive state* of **ideas** we're in now.

- I didn't intend for this to become an *educational post*, but this is ultimately **what I have fought for over a decade:** I can support my **claims** with the following **collection**:

Facts of Life on Reddit Posts:

1. In today's society, downvoted content or comments challenging the status quo non-violently are often truthful.

2. The inability to assess personal biases is not narcissistic but rather a flaw stemming from a lack of education on how to address it.

3. This gap has led to two major factions developing primary methods to dismiss evidence as false: one by labeling it as "misinformation" or "fake news," and the other by branding it as "spam" to obscure it. **(See Item 10)**

4. **The Galileo Dismissal** refers to the false assumption that others are free from cognitive biases, coupled with the tendency to dismiss unfamiliar ideas: **claiming that someone else would have noticed by now.** (See item 11.)

5. Contradictions often arise when only superficial information is considered.

6. Comparing individuals to their past, as if humans cannot learn from mistakes, reflects narcissistic behavior, often used as a means of control. This is a learned behavior.

7. Actions such as falsely reporting posts on other subreddits or labeling someone or a subreddit as "crazy" (e.g., 'OP's profile is crazy') demonstrate infamication, a clear indicator of narcissistic tendencies.

8. While only 1% of the population may have narcissistic personality disorder, up to 7% exhibit learned behaviors from exposure to narcissists, creating the illusion of a higher prevalence.

9. Platforms like Reddit, with their high degree of freedom, serve as havens for these individuals, allowing up to 12% of commenters to dominate and create the perception of being the majority.

10. Narcissists attack online dissenters, especially when they get there first, which they do to avoid being ratioed. They amplify each other's behavior, making them the first to engage on contentious posts.

11. Being ratioed or in other words congruence aka group think does not equal truth.

12. Comments that dismiss without evidence or misrepresent claims (e.g., saying PEM-images are just Photoshop without attempt to understand the process) indicate an inability to accept reality (see "Duality of Reasoning").

13. "Infamication" occurs when evidence or accountability is dismissed by associating the presenter with stigmatized ideas (e.g., calling someone a "Flat Earther").

14. Flat Earth satire is often misunderstood; it stems from frustration with those who cannot accept our limited knowledge (see "Devil's Advocate Report").

15. Superiority and inferiority complexes persist due to mass cognitive psychological phenomena, which is a primary focus of my studies (see "Inferiority Report").

16. All forms of communication hold validity for conveying any concept. **Accessibility encompasses both understanding and engagement, enabling even memes to serve as effective tools for educating about various phenomena.**

Unfortunately, the moon landing photos do not align with reality. If this is the case, you must consider the implications of how vastly inaccurate and fraudulent our data would be within the scientific community. These individuals have turned science into a mockery and manipulated you all the way to financial gain. This reflects a clear example of narcissism—the desire for recognition without true accomplishment.

Introduction (and how PEM-Images were discovered)

[+] Determines the level of evidence; the greater the evidence, the more there will be. This is not simply an evidence-based puzzle but one shrouded in mass manipulation, gaslighting, cognitive biases, feelings of discomfort, and social dynamics. It has been a fascinating puzzle to try and solve.

The directory can be accessed at lunar.metopedia.com

1. Twitter Censorship Report [+]
2. YouTube Censorship Report (Introduction)
3. Snapchat Censorship Report
4. How to Spot Narcissists Online
5. Duality of Reasoning (What is Cognitive Impasse?) **[+++]**
6. Devil's Advocate Satire (Claims of Pseudoscience and Flat Earths)
7. Inferiority Report
8. Moon Landing Framerate Discrepancy [++] (and Dismissing the Nonsensical Argument: Van Allen Belts)
9. Photoelctromagnetic Image Analysis of First Apollo Moon Landing Mission (raw) [++]
10. An argument fought is an argument lost [+] (meme)
11. Why simple things are not believed [+] (meme)
12. Superficial report on narcissists or the 133 page version (needs revision for clarity) [+++]
13. 13,208 PEM-Image Analyses [++++]
14. What is Intelligence (knowledge is not intelligence; in the style of a Festivus Rant)
15. Photoelectromagnetic Image Analysis Reference Set as a Video or Albums: Reference Set, Apollo Training Set [++]
16. Photoelectromagnetic Image Analysis retermed as degradation analysis can evaluate how light is absorbed, reflected, and refracted. [++]
17. Seeds of Implausibility [+++++]
18. The Scientific Method Demands Inquiry [+++]
19. Spatial Scaling [++]

Resources: Specific music can help alleviate the feeling of cognitive dissonance. Examples include the following song: on YouTube or Spotify.

Citing: See Here

1. Main DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.28078982
2. Photo DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.28078943